

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/669,894 | (| 09/23/2003 | Robert M. Soule III | 283-392.12 | 2236 | |
| 20874 | 7590 | 11/02/2005 | | EXAM | INER | |
| WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI 101 SOUTH SALINA STREET | | | | LE, UYEN CHAU N | | |
| SUITE 400 | SALINA | SIREEI | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SYRACUSE, | NY 13 | 202 | | 2876 | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 191- |
|--|--|---|---------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | . 1 |
| | 10/669,894 | SOULE ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| • | Uyen-Chau N. Le | 2876 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | vith the correspondence addres | is |
| Period for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A | ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Se</u> | entember 2005 | | |
| | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | tters, prosecution as to the me | rits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | · - | · | • |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 13-18,47-54 and 69-80 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-18,47-54 and 69-80 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) ☐ objected to | by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeya | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | • | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attache | ed Office Action or form PTO-1 | 52. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | - | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Sta | ge |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/04, 11/04, 1/05, 8/0 ≤ . | Paper No | v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 | 2) |

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II including claims 13-18 and 47-54 in the reply filed on 30 September 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "the Examiner has failed to postulate proper classifications of the claims" and that "the Examiner has not alleged that a search and examination of the entire application cannot be made without serious burden" (p. 17, 1st and 2nd paragraphs). This is not found persuasive because the invention of Group I (i.e., claims 1-12 and 59-68) direct to a system for reprogramming/reconfiguring an optical device comprising a reconfigurable device for reading a reprogramming symbol to produce a data stream corresponding to the reprogramming symbol, which is classified in class/subclass 235/462.15 for programming an optical barcode reader; the invention of Group II (i.e., claims 13-18 and 47-54) direct to a symbol generator including a user interface for receiving formatted file and input/command and encoding the formatted file into at least one symbol according to the user command, which is classified in class/subclass 235/494 for recoding/encoding information in a particular code pattern/symbol specified by a user (i.e., number

Art Unit: 2876

of bytes, etc.); the invention of Group III (i.e., claims 19-46) direct to specific electrical components/circuitry within a portable code/symbol reading device comprises a memory, decoding unit, a control circuit, etc. and methods/steps of operating the device to obtain a successful reading, which classified in class/subclass 235/462.25 for a specific reading processing circuitry having specific functions (i.e., when executing the data stream processing program locates command data in the data stream, and executes a command in accordance with the command data, etc.); and the invention of Group IV (i.e., claims 55-58) direct to a system for initiating a communication of a portable barcode reader within a network, wherein the portable reader having a mother board and a radio circuit board (i.e., wireless), which is classified class/subclass 235/472.02 for a handheld/portable device having wireless (e.g., radio frequency) communication. Accordingly, the invention of Groups I, II, III and IV are distinct from one another.

Page 3

The requirement is still deemed proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,894 Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: -- A Graphical User Interface for an Encoding Symbol Generator --.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 80 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 80, line 2: Delete "of the type."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a),

Art Unit: 2876

the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 13, 15-16, 47-54 and 69-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kowalski et al (US 6529146 B1) in view of Hashimoto et al (US 6902114 B2).

Re claims 13, 15-16, 47-54 and 69-80: Kowalski et al discloses a symbol generator including: a graphical user interface 44 including a first data input area 96 facilitating entry of formatted file data, and a second data input area 80 facilitating entry of command data; an encoder 32 encoding into at least one encoding format a formatted file in accordance with the input formatted file data, and a command in accordance with the input command data (fig. 6; col. 7, line 40 through col. 8, line 62); wherein the user specifies all desired parameters and the encoding system configured to encode the inputted data according to the user's setting or the options selected by the

Art Unit: 2876

user (figs. 5 and 8; col. 5, line 3 through col. 7, line 39 and col. 9, lines 18-65).

Kowalski et al is silent with respect to encode the formatted file into at least one symbol; automatically changes a number of symbols to encode depending; indicate a number of symbols to be encoded; encoding a set of barcodes, a field indicating a total number of symbols of the set, respectively.

Hashimoto et al teaches an encode method and system where the user specifies all setup value; the capacity of the inputted data is greater than the predetermined number, the data is encoded into more than one barcode; wherein each of the encoded barcode includes a total number of barcodes making up the setting group and a serial number indicating the order of the barcode in the barcodes making up the setting group (figs. 4-7; col. 8, line 1 through col. 10, line 41).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Hashimoto et al into the system as taught by Kowalski et al for intended use (i.e., encoding data into barcode symbols). Furthermore, such modification would decrease occurrence of read errors due to the division of data into a plurality of barcodes (i.e., at the scanning time, a distance is kept between the bar code reader and a bar code label, whereby a

Art Unit: 2876

beam is scattered and thus the scan width widens, but the spot worsens, degrading the read accuracy. Therefore, to ensure precise read, the original data needs to be placed in a proper bar code length), thus providing a more accurate system.

Kowalski et al as modified by Hashimoto et al has been discussed above and further discloses the graphical interface may include additional input boxes to allow for input of information with respect to other parameters (col. 8, lines 16-18), but is silent with respect to the user indicating number of symbols to be encoded, number of bytes of data into a to-be encoded barcode, and whether a viewable field designated for encoding will be displayed at the time when a symbol encoding the viewable file is read, respectively.

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the additional input boxes of Kowalski et al/Hashimoto et al to provide the user with the flexibility in selecting desired specific parameters, thus providing a desired encoding result.

7. Claims 14 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kowalski et al as modified by Hashimoto et al as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Liu (US 5764774 A). The teachings of Kowalski et al as modified by Hashimoto et al have been discussed above.

Re claims 14 and 17-18: Kowalski et al/Hashimoto et al has been discussed above, but is silent with respect to storing a formatted file onto a portable device, whether encoded symbol data is to be compressed or encrypted prior to being encoded into a symbol, respectively.

Liu teaches an encoding system where the source data can be received from a data storage device, the coding control 12 determines whether the source data is to be encrypted or compressed, and the determination is based on the data received from the user (col. 5, lines 40-65).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Liu into the system as taught by Kowalski et al/Hashimoto et al in order to provide Kowalski et al/Hashimoto et al with a more secure system wherein the data is encrypted prior to encoded. Furthermore, such modification would provide Kowalski et al/Hashimoto et al with a greater capacity to encode data due to the compressed data (i.e., more data can be encoded within one barcode).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,894 Page 9

Art Unit: 2876

The patents to Benz (US 5120943 A); Beller et al (US 5602377 A); Huang et al (US 5748904 A); Sheppard (US 6026397 A); Kara (US 6208980 B1); Dong et al (US 6343101 B1); Moule (US 20020081038 A1); Nakayama et al (US 20030228063 A1); Entani (US 6764011 B2) are cited as of interest and illustrate a similar structure to a user interface for an encoding symbol generator.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen-Chau N. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2397. The examiner can normally be reached on First Monday 5:30AM-1:30PM and Tues-Fri 5:30AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic access Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Uyen-Chau N. Le

Uchaule

Page 10

Examiner

Art Unit 2876

October 28, 2005